Richard Plunket (fl. 1772–1791):
‘A neglected genius of the county of Meath’

RICHARD SHARPE

Edward O’Reilly’s *Account of Nearly Four Hundred Irish Writers*, published in 1820, not only attempts to record the extent of Irish literature from its origins until the middle of the eighteenth century but also, occasionally, provides a glimpse of a more recent period. For example, he writes about his friend, Fr Paul O’Brien (1763–1820), who taught Irish for ordinands at Maynooth from 1804 until his death and who was the first Irish-language poet to write occasional poems to be printed. Born at Breakey, ‘a town of inconsiderable note in the county of Meath,’ O’Brien is not an entirely obscure figure.¹ Edward O’Reilly described him as ‘a living magazine of the poetry and language of his country,’ and O’Reilly’s grandfather was Eoghan Ó Raghallaigh, of Corstown (Co. Meath), who in 1739 copied the inscription from the base of the churchyard cross in Kells.² It is this Edward O’Reilly (1765–1830) who refers to Richard Plunket as ‘a neglected genius of the county of Meath’.
The context is O’Reilly’s treatment of St Fiacc, disciple of St Patrick, to whom is ascribed a Life of St Patrick in Old Irish verse, Génair Pátraicc in nNemthur, preserved by the two manuscript copies of what is known as the Irish Liber hymnorum. O’Reilly had seen the ‘very ancient copy of this poem, finely written on vellum’, in Dublin, Trinity College, MS 1441 (saec. xi/xii), and he knew it from the printed edition at the opening of Fr John Colgan’s important book, Triadis thaumaturgae acta (Louvain, 1647), 1–10, which was based on the Franciscan manuscript once at St Anthony’s College, Louvain, now Dublin, University College, MS Franciscan A 2 (saec. xi/xii). O’Reilly refers to three printings after Colgan. The earliest is problematic, for he says, ‘in the first edition of Vallancey’s Irish grammar, with a faulty English translation’, and the first known printing of this book carries no English version, only Colgan’s Latin. The most recent was by Patrick Lynch (d. 1818), The Life of Saint Patrick, Apostle of Ireland: to which is added, in the original Irish character, (with both a Latin and English translation) the celebrated hymn by his disciple, Saint Fiech; comprehending a compendious history of his life (Dublin, 1810), 328–45, with what O’Reilly calls ‘a correct English translation’. He seems not to have known the earlier English version by Anthony Coyle, bishop of Raphoe, which was printed at Strabane in 1788. What we are concerned with, however, is the middle one of O’Reilly’s three cited editions, in the year 1792, by a Mr Richard Plunkett, a neglected genius of the county of Meath, who, in pages opposite to the original text, gave a version into modern Irish. It is no surprise that Edward O’Reilly owned a copy of that printed booklet, which bears the date 1791. There is scant evidence for other copies, but one appeared in the 1822 sale of Irish books from the library of the late John MacNamara. At least the work still survives, though copies are very scarce.

When Ernest McClintock Dix and Séamus Ó Casaide printed their List of Books, Pamphlets, &c., printed wholly, or partly, in Irish, from the earliest period to 1820 (Dublin, 1905), 14, they knew no copy of the edition and relied on the entry in J. W. Sullivan’s sale catalogue of the library of Robert Shipboy MacAdam (1895), of Belfast, item 199 (of which, I may say, I have found no extant copy). In 1911 MacAdam’s copy of the booklet belonged to
Fr Edmund Hogan SJ (1831–1917), who lent it to Ó Casaide. The latter had a typed copy made, line by line, which is now in the National Library, LO 1430, and he published a brief query about the translator in *The Irish Book Lover.* It was soon afterwards entered in R. I. Best's *Bibliography of Irish Philology and of Printed Irish Literature* (Dublin, 1913), 152. Best was on the staff at the National Library and was without doubt in contact with Séamus Ó Casaide.

The copy that belonged to MacAdam and Hogan still exists, and from it details can be confirmed. The booklet has several title-pages. The first English title tells us something about Richard Plunket: *An Hymn, on the Life of St Patrick: extracted from the ancient Scytho-Celtic dialect into Modern Irish, by Richard Plunket, late translator of the New Testament into Irish, who has now the manuscript in his possession* (Dublin, 1791). The corresponding Irish title highlights the supposed ancient poet: *Himhin Phadruig absdal. Do cumadh re Feiche easbuig Shleibhte a gCondae na Banrioghna, disciobal agus fear comhainsire do Phadruig fein. Air na mhineadh go deighneach san Nuaghaoidhilig, Re Riostard Pluncead A mBeulathecliath: ar na chur a gclo san mbliadhain MDCCXI.* The edition also included, again from Colgan’s *Trias*, the poem on St Brigit ascribed to St Broccán, *Ní car Brigit buadach bith,* and there are similar paired title-pages for this. The edition, just thirty-two pages, carries no imprint, and the roman type is not recognizable to me. It is no mean production. Across two sheets of crown paper, folded octavo, the text has been laid out with forethought; the quatrains are numbered, there are footnotes, and the paired title-pages add dignity as well as absorbing space. The use of decorative blocks adds to the impression that costs were not cut back to the basics. At the back of the booklet, with another title-page, there are ‘Short directions for reading Irish: intended for those who can speak and understand the language’, a common feature when Irish texts were printed for Irish speakers taught to read in English or in Latin. These texts, supposed to have been written at the close of the fifth century and in the seventh century, were for two hundred years the principal examples of Old Irish available to Irish readers, with a manuscript circulation dependent on Colgan’s edition. It is no surprise, therefore, to find that the booklet too
was copied by hand, since printed copies may quickly have become difficult to obtain. NLI MS G228 includes a complete copy, at pp. 356–69, 396–403, made by Fr John Murray, PP, Killallon (Co. Meath), in 1817. BL MS Add. 40767 is a slim booklet with no date that carries only Plunket’s modern versions. Besides the printed edition and copies from it, the old and new versions of Génair Pátraicc also exist in manuscript with a title page that differs only at the end, ‘Air na mineadh go deigneach san nuaghaothilic re Riostard Pluinchead san mbliadhain d’aois an Tighearna 1784’ (RIA MS 23 C 28 (cat. 393), p. 1a). But for the date, one would guess this too was copied from the title page of the printed booklet. Was this copy made from an authorial manuscript seven years older than the booklet? That may mean that so many years were to pass before Plunket was in a position to pay for printing or marketing his booklet.11

The last page of the booklet advertises nine works, translated into Irish and (by implication) printed or at least ready for the press. The New Testament, translated from the Greek and Latin, is first on the list, and this booklet is second, ‘The Hymns of St Patrick and St Bridget’. Last is a translation of the lectionary readings of Gospel and Epistle from the Roman Missal, though there is no indication that the translator was himself a priest. The other works present a rather extraordinary mixture. The devotional treatise, True Wisdom; or, Considerations for every day of the week, was a work published anonymously in Italian in 1677 and widely translated. An English version was in print by 1713, and long before 1791 there were three translations into Irish, all of them unpublished.12 A fourth, by Seán Máighister Ó Conaill, was printed in parallel with the English text by subscription at Cork in 1795.13 ‘The Recommendation of a departing soul. From the Latin’ presumably represents the Ordo commendationis animae, the death-bed prayers and readings as set out in the Rituale Romanum. Christian humanism is represented by a selection from the Dialogues of Erasmus. A Classical prophecy with a Christian reinterpretation, the Tablet of Cebes, appears particularly esoteric in this company. From the Roman poet Ovid’s Heroides he translated Penelope’s verse epistle to her husband Ulysses. Plunket was clearly well read and enterprising, but it may be wondered whether any of
these works has survived apart from the so-called hymns.

Plunket’s is the first acknowledged paraphrase from Old into Modern Irish. Of course, many old stories in prose had been reworked over the centuries, but providing a modern paraphrase alongside the original text was at this period unique. Plunket’s title-page points to another distinction, ‘late [recent] translator of the New Testament into Irish’. If it was meant to help prospective readers to recognize his name, one would assume that his translation had been printed for circulation. Before 1791, however, no Irish New Testament had been printed since the 1759 reprint of Uilliam Ó Domhnaill’s translation, originally published in 1601. No Catholic version was published before the twentieth century, so that Plunket’s efforts with both lectionary readings and the whole New Testament may be seen as a very significant outreach towards the Irish-speaking Catholic population—and one of which the bishops may not have approved. If his translation were made but not published, I have come across no sign of any manuscript copies.

Little else can be said to be known of this Plunket.

In January 1810, Watty Cox’s *Irish Magazine and Monthly Asylum for Neglected Biography* carried ‘A biographical sketch of the Rev. Paul O’Brien, Professor of the Irish Language at Maynooth’, addressed as a letter to the editor and signed ‘A.’, of Stephen’s Green. It may be supposed that the writer was Cox himself, and it is almost certain that his information came directly from Fr O’Brien. After his eighteenth birthday, in 1781, we read, O’Brien devoted himself to the study of Irish, becoming ‘acquainted with the different dialects of the kingdom’; having already studied the ancient Latin classics, ‘he translated into Irish verse the most approved and difficult classical works’ (I am not aware that such translations survive today). Meanwhile, seemingly still in the 1780s, genuine thirst for the Irish language had induced him to roam beyond the limits of his native country. Accordingly he visited, in company with a Mr Plunket, Scotland and the adjacent isles, and afterwards took a tour to the Isle of Man, in order to get acquainted with the Manx language, of which he has many copies translated into Irish and Latin. Just such a tour was made by the Revd Matthew Young (1750–1800), fellow of Trinity College, in 1784, searching for manuscripts.
of fiannaigheacht and the originals of Ossian; he published his results in the *Transactions* of the Royal Irish Academy. Plunket and O’Brien were engaged in a fashionable inquiry, but no trace is known of their discoveries.

O’Brien took himself to live on the Continent during the period of the French Revolution, and, following his return to Ireland he entered Maynooth in 1798. He might, we presume, have told us more about Plunket, but Cox was not interested in including such a diversion, and Plunket here, as in O’Reilly’s *Irish Writers*, is named with an indefinite article, as if unknown. Commenting on O’Brien’s trip with Mr Plunket to the highlands and islands of Scotland, Éinrí Ó Muirgheasa, in his *Amhrán na Midhe*, inferred that Richard Plunket was intended, but he gives no further information about him.

To this very small body of information may be added that in 1777 Richard Plunket signed his name as scribe in a booklet containing three poems from the Book of Fenagh, an order of prayers for a dying person, and a further short passage from the Book of Fenagh, *Fís Caillín*. His name occurs at the end of the prayers, ‘air na sgríobhadh re Riostuird Plaincead 1777’ (p. 120), and again, ‘Finis do Caillín. Air na sgríobhadh le Máighister Riostuird Plaincead’ (p. 124), opposite the first recto of a new sheet with an added poem, a copy of the lament for Eoghan Ruadh Ó Néill, *Do chaill Éire a céile fíre*, which is the only item obviously in the same hand. The pages to which this was added were not much older. At this date the original Book of Fenagh was still in the keeping of the Ó Rodaighe family at Crossfield, Co. Leitrim. What is particularly interesting is that the order of prayers represents an Irish version of the *Ordo commendationis animae*, something Plunket intended to print. Whether the translation here was his own is not easily proven; it begins on the sixth quire of the Fenagh texts and continues through a seventh and eighth quire, concluding with *Fís Caillín* (p. 124). The placing of Plunket’s signature claims the text. His signing with the title *máighister* may well indicate that he kept a school, something we might just have guessed. Plunket’s manuscript was sold to the British Museum in 1832, part of the collection of Irish manuscripts formed by Galway historian James Hardiman (1782–1855). Who owned it between Plunket and Hardiman is unknown. It is now fols. 3–68 (pp 1–134), the main part of BL
And in 1772 the Dublin scribe Muiris Ó Gormáin listed as in his own possession, ‘A 4to bound in vellum which I borrowed of Dick Plunkett, all in verse’, whose contents are itemized in detail. The book’s subsequent history is known, from which we infer that the loan was permanent. It passed with a hundred or more of Muiris’s books to a young man called Henry Wright, alias Énri Mac an tSaoir, in Dublin, around 1787, and from him, in 1794, to Edward O’Reilly. In 1825 O’Reilly made a catalogue of his manuscripts, now RIA MS 23 H 1 (cat. 1287), in which this item appears as No. 142; and when his collection was auctioned after his death in 1830, it was bought by Myles John O’Reilly, of the Heath House, near Maryborough, in Co. Laois. Myles John’s interest in Irish manuscripts soon faded, and at his auction in 1837 the book was bought by the Royal Irish Academy, where it is now MS 23 D 14 (cat. 1). It has copies of more than fifty poems ranging in date from the fourteenth to the sixteenth century. On any analysis it is an important, attractive, and interesting book. Giving it pride of place at the front of his new catalogue of the manuscripts in the Academy, T. F. O’Rahilly described the damaged early-seventeenth-century book as ‘an important collection of scholastic verse’ (by which he meant from so-called bardic schools), ‘containing a number of poems, not now extant elsewhere, by members of the Ó Cobhthaigh family’. An origin connected with this family of filí would point to Co. Westmeath, as also would its affinity with the late-sixteenth-century duanaire of the Nugent family of Delvin in that county. Pádraig Ó Macháin indeed considers the book to have been in part transcribed from the Nugent duanaire. O’Rahilly, however, opined, ‘one is probably safe in suggesting that it was written in King’s County or the immediate neighbourhood’; his reason seems to be ‘dialect spellings’, which cannot support so precise an inference. He had made the connexion between the book in front of him and the entry in Gorman’s 1772 booklist, but even O’Rahilly, a scholar deeply versed in Irish manuscripts of the eighteenth century, referred to the earlier owner as ‘one Dick Plunkett’, suggesting that he too knew nothing of him.

On the evidence presented here Richard Plunket was already interested
in Irish literature before 1772, which makes him somewhat older than Paul O’Brien. His contact with Muiris Ó Gormáin in Dublin brings him in touch with one of the most visible scribes active between about 1745 and 1786. It is possible that he was well acquainted with other well-known scribes, but, if so, the evidence remains to be uncovered. His readiness to use the printing press in 1791 puts him in the vanguard of modern printing in Irish. Someone who published Old Irish verse with a modern Irish paraphrase, who visited Scotland in search of *fiannaigheacht* in the 1780s, and who translated the New Testament into Irish was a real innovator. He should command our attention as a forward-looking scholar on the cusp between the vernacular tradition in Co. Meath and modern interests and ideas. In all this we have found none of the usual biographical information about life-dates or family or occupation, not even where in Meath he lived and worked. It is surely possible that such data exist, if only they can be matched with the evidence adduced here for his literary interests in Irish.
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